Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/075,560	BRANDSTATER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Virginia Manoharan	1764
All Participants:	Status of Application	·
(1) Virginia Manoharan.	(3)	
(2) Robert C. Hyta.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 August 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, 103		•
Claims discussed: 27-28 and 31-34		
Prior art documents discussed:  OF RECORD		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a value record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
		· .
Mix A.		,
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representativ	re Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: DISCUSSED THE REFERENCES OF RECORD. THERE'S A QUESTION OF OBVIOUSNESS WITH REGARDS TO THE ABOVE CLAIMS. APPLICANTS CANCELLING THESE CLAIMS (MAYBE PURSUED IN A CONTINUATION APPLICATION) SO AS TO PLACE THIS CASE IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

VIRGINIA MANOHARAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

ARTUNIT 1231 764